arXiv:hep-lat/0312021v1 13 Dec 2003

HUB-EP-03/52

General chiral gauge theories*

Werner Kerler [a]

[a]Institut für Physik, Humboldt-Universität, D-12489 Berlin, Germany

Only requiring that Dirac operators decribing massless fermions on the lattice decompose into Weyl operators we arrive at a large class of them. After deriving general relations from spectral representations we study correlation functions of Weyl fermions for any value of the index, stressing the related conditions for basis transformations and getting the precise behaviors under gauge and CP transformations. Using the detailed structure of the chiral projections we also obtain a form of the correlation functions with a determinant in the general case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reconsidering chiral gauge theories on the lattice we generalize the basic structure which has been introduced in the overlap formalism of Narayanan and Neuberger [1] and in the formulation of Lüscher [2]. Only requiring that the Dirac operator D allows a decomposition into Weyl operators we still extend the large class of operators decribing massless fermions on the lattice which we have found recently [3]. In addition to Ginsparg-Wilson (GW) fermions [4] this class includes the ones proposed by Fujikawa [5] and the extension of the latter [6].

Noting that the operators D are functions of a basic unitary and γ_5-Hermitian operator V we introduce chiral projections of form [7]

$$P_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1} \pm \gamma_5 G\big), \quad \bar{P}_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1} \pm \bar{G}\gamma_5\big), \tag{1}$$

with functions $G(V)$ and $\bar{G}(V)$ satisfying

$$G^{-1} = G^\dagger = \gamma_5 G \gamma_5, \quad \bar{G}^{-1} = \bar{G}^\dagger = \gamma_5 \bar{G}\gamma_5. \tag{2}$$

Requiring the decomposition

$$D = \bar{P}_+ D P_- + \bar{P}_- D P_+ \tag{3}$$

then leads to the relations

$$\bar{P}_\pm D P_\mp = D P_\mp = \bar{P}_\pm D \tag{4}$$

and gives the general condition

$$D + D^\dagger \bar{G} G = 0. \tag{5}$$

The forms considered in Refs. [2] and [8] in the GW case correspond to the special choices $G = V$, $\bar{G} = \mathbb{1}$ and $G = ((1-s)\mathbb{1} + sV)/\mathcal{N}$, $\bar{G} = (s\mathbb{1} + (1-s)V)/\mathcal{N}$ with a real parameter s and normalization factor $\mathcal{N}$, respectively.

2. GENERAL RELATIONS

We start from the spectral representation of V

$$V = P_1^{(+)} + P_1^{(-)} - P_2^{(+)} - P_2^{(-)} + \sum_{k\ (0<\varphi_k<\pi)} \big(\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_k} P_k^{(\mathrm{I})} + \mathrm{e}^{-i\varphi_k} P_k^{(\mathrm{II})}\big), \tag{6}$$

where the orthogonal projections satisfy $\gamma_5 P_j^{(\pm)} = P_j^{(\pm)}\gamma_5 = \pm P_j^{(\pm)}$, $\gamma_5 P_k^{(\mathrm{I})} = P_k^{(\mathrm{II})}\gamma_5$, which implies that one has

$$N_+(1) - N_-(1) + N_+(-1) - N_-(-1) = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$N_+(1) - N_-(1) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma_5 V) \tag{8}$$

for $N_\pm(1) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(P_1^{(\pm)}\big)$, $N_\pm(-1) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(P_2^{(\pm)}\big)$, and

$$\mathrm{Tr}\big(\gamma_5 P_k^{(\mathrm{I})}\big) = \mathrm{Tr}\big(\gamma_5 P_k^{(\mathrm{II})}\big) = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, P_k^{(\mathrm{I})} = \mathrm{Tr}\, P_k^{(\mathrm{II})}. \tag{10}$$

The representation of $D = F(V)$ then becomes

$$D = f(1)\big(P_1^{(+)} + P_1^{(-)}\big) + f(-1)\big(P_2^{(+)} + P_2^{(-)}\big) + \sum_{k\ (0<\varphi_k<\pi)} \big(f(\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_k}) P_k^{(\mathrm{I})} + f(\mathrm{e}^{-i\varphi_k}) P_k^{(\mathrm{II})}\big), \tag{11}$$

with the conditions on the spectral functions

$$f(1) = 0, \quad f(-1) \neq 0, \quad f^*(v) = f(v^*), \tag{12}$$

---

*Talk presented at Lattice 2003, Tsukuba, Japan.

corresponding to masslessness, allowance for a non-zero index, γ_5-Hermiticity, respectively. The index of D then gets $I = N_+(1) - N_-(1)$, which thus is given by (8) and subject to (7).

For G and $\bar{G}$ analogous representations to (11) hold with spectal functions g and $\bar{g}$, respectively. The latter satisfy $|g|^2 = 1$, $g^*(v) = g(v^*)$, $|\bar{g}|^2 = 1$, $\bar{g}^*(v) = \bar{g}(v^*)$. In terms of spectral functions the general condition (5) now reads

$$f + f^* \bar{g} g = 0. \tag{13}$$

Because of $f(-1) \neq 0$ this implies

$$\bar{g}(-1) = -g(-1), \tag{14}$$

with the important consequence that $\bar{G}$ and G must be generally different.

To have $I = \bar{N} - N$ for the index, where $\bar{N} = \mathrm{Tr}\,\bar{P}_+$, $N = \mathrm{Tr}\,P_-$, one needs $\bar{g}(1) = g(1) = 1$. Then for the choices $g(-1) = -\bar{g}(-1) = \pm 1$ one gets $N = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbb{1} - I$, $\bar{N} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbb{1}$ and $N = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbb{1}$, $\bar{N} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbb{1} + I$, respectively.

The spectral functions have been used in Ref. [3] to construct various concrete examples of Dirac operators. The respective methods have been seen in Ref. [7] to extend to the more general class of operators satisfying (5).

3. CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

General fermionic correlation functions can be written as [7]

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \psi_{\sigma_{r+1}} \ldots \psi_{\sigma_N} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\sigma}_{r+1}} \ldots \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}} \rangle_{\mathrm{f}} \\ &= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \ldots \bar{\sigma}_r} \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r} \bar{\Upsilon}^*_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \ldots \bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}} \Upsilon_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_N} D_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \sigma_1} \ldots D_{\bar{\sigma}_r \sigma_r} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with alternating multilinear forms $\Upsilon_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_N}$ and $\bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \ldots \bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}}$, which are explicitly represented by

$$\Upsilon_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_N} = \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_N = 1}^{N} \epsilon_{j_1, \ldots, j_N} u_{\sigma_1 j_1} \ldots u_{\sigma_N j_N} \tag{16}$$

and an analogous expression for $\bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \ldots \bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}}$. The bases in such expressions satisfy

$$P_- = u u^\dagger,\ u^\dagger u = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{w}},\ \bar{P}_+ = \bar{u} \bar{u}^\dagger,\ \bar{u}^\dagger \bar{u} = \mathbb{1}_{\bar{\mathrm{w}}}, \tag{17}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\mathbb{1}_{\bar{\mathrm{w}}}$ are the identity operators in the spaces of the Weyl degrees of freedom. Comparing with vector theory it is seen that instead of $\epsilon_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_K}$ and $\epsilon_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \ldots \bar{\sigma}_K}$ with $K = \mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbb{1}$ there, one has $\Upsilon_{\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_N}$ and $\bar{\Upsilon}_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \ldots \bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}}$ here.

By (17) the bases are fixed up to unitary transformations, $u^{(S)} = uS$, $\bar{u}^{(\bar{S})} = \bar{u}\bar{S}$. In addition requiring unimodularity, $\det_{\mathrm{w}} S = 1$, $\det_{\bar{\mathrm{w}}} \bar{S} = 1$, the correlation functions (15) get invariant. While without this additional restriction the transformations S connect all bases of the subspace on which P_- projects, the unimodular S connect only subsets thereof. The total set of bases $u^{(S)}$ thus decomposes into subsets. Because the formulation of the theory has to be restricted to one of such subsets (which are not equivalent) there is the question to which one of them. Analogous considerations apply to the bases $\bar{u}^{(\bar{S})}$.

4. GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS

Considering the gauge transformation $P'_- = \mathcal{T} P_- \mathcal{T}^\dagger$ for $G \neq \mathbb{1}$ with $[\mathcal{T}, P_-] \neq 0$, given a solution u satisfying (17) then $u' = \mathcal{T} u S$ is a solution of the transformed conditions $P'_- = u' u'^\dagger$ and $u'^\dagger u' = \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{w}}$. With the restriction to unimodular S this constitutes a mapping between the respective subset and the transformed subset of bases. With analogous considerations for $\bar{u}$ it becomes obvious that for $G \neq \mathbb{1}$, $\bar{G} \neq \mathbb{1}$ the correlation functions (15) transform gauge-covariantly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \psi'_{\sigma'_1} \ldots \psi'_{\sigma'_L} \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{\sigma}'_1} \ldots \bar{\psi}'_{\bar{\sigma}'_{\bar{L}}} \rangle'_{\mathrm{f}} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_L} \sum_{\bar{\sigma}_1, \ldots, \bar{\sigma}_L} \mathcal{T}_{\sigma'_1 \sigma_1} \ldots \mathcal{T}_{\sigma'_L \sigma_L} \\ &\qquad \langle \psi_{\sigma_1} \ldots \psi_{\sigma_L} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\sigma}_1} \ldots \bar{\psi}_{\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{L}}} \rangle_{\mathrm{f}} \mathcal{T}^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \bar{\sigma}'_1} \ldots \mathcal{T}^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{L}} \bar{\sigma}'_{\bar{L}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

For $\bar{G} = \mathbb{1}$ with $[\mathcal{T}, \bar{P}_+] = 0$ and $\bar{P}'_+ = \bar{P}_+$, given a gauge-field independent solution $\bar{u}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of (17), also $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_{\mathrm{c}} S$ with unimodular S is a solution, representing the respective invariant subset of bases. This solution can be rewritten as $\bar{u} = \mathcal{T} \bar{u}_{\mathrm{c}} \bar{S}^\dagger_{\mathcal{T}} S$ with $\bar{S}_{\mathcal{T}} = \bar{u}^\dagger_{\mathrm{c}} \mathcal{T} \bar{u}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (which is unitary, however, in general not unimodular). With this for the correlation functions in the case $G \neq \mathbb{1}$, $\bar{G} = \mathbb{1}$ one gets the behavior (18) multiplied by the constant phase factor $\det_{\bar{\mathrm{w}}} \bar{S}_{\mathcal{T}}$. Evaluation of this factor [7] gives $\det_{\bar{\mathrm{w}}} \bar{S}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathcal{B}}$ where $\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n'n} = i\delta^4_{n'n} \sum_\ell b^\ell_n T^\ell$. Thus the additional condition $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{g}} T^\ell = 0$ on the generators T^ℓ is needed to get rid of that factor.

5. CP TRANSFORMATIONS

With the charge conjugation matrix C, $\mathcal{P}_{n'n} = \delta^4_{n'\tilde{n}}$, $U^{\rm CP}_{4n} = U^*_{4\tilde{n}}$, $U^{\rm CP}_{kn} = U^*_{k,\tilde{n}-\hat{k}}$ for $k = 1,2,3$, where $\tilde{n} = (-\vec{n}, n_4)$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}^{\rm CP}) = \mathcal{W}\big(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})\big)^{\rm T}\mathcal{W}^\dagger, \quad \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{P}\gamma_4 C^\dagger \tag{19}$$

for V, D, G, $\bar{G}$, while for the projections $P_-^{\rm CP}(\mathcal{U}^{\rm CP})$, $\bar{P}_+(\mathcal{U})$, $\bar{P}_+^{\rm CP}(\mathcal{U}^{\rm CP})$, $P_-(\mathcal{U})$, we get

$$P_-^{\rm CP} = \mathcal{W}\bar{P}_+^{\rm T}\mathcal{W}^\dagger, \quad \bar{P}_+^{\rm CP} = \mathcal{W}P_-^{\rm T}\mathcal{W}^\dagger, \tag{20}$$

$$P_-^{\rm CP} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1} - \gamma_5\bar{G}\big), \quad \bar{P}_+^{\rm CP} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\mathbb{1} + G\gamma_5\big). \tag{21}$$

It is seen that (21) differs from (1) by an interchange of G and $\bar{G}$. Since in (5) only the product enters, the interchanged choice is associated to the same D. Because according to (14) one has generally $\bar{G} \neq G$, one cannot get the symmetric situation of continuum theory.

Given solutions u and $\bar{u}$ of (17), then $u^{\rm CP} = \mathcal{W}\bar{u}^*\bar{S}$ and $\bar{u}^{\rm CP} = \mathcal{W}u^*S$ are solutions of the CP transformed conditions. With unimodular S and $\bar{S}$ this leads to the transformations

$$\langle\psi^{\rm CP}_{\sigma'_1}\ldots\psi^{\rm CP}_{\sigma'_L}\bar{\psi}^{\rm CP}_{\bar{\sigma}'_1}\ldots\bar{\psi}^{\rm CP}_{\bar{\sigma}'_{\bar{L}}}\rangle^{\rm CP}_{\rm f} \tag{22}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_L}\sum_{\bar{\sigma}_1,\ldots,\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{L}}}\mathcal{W}^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_1\bar{\sigma}'_1}\ldots\mathcal{W}^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{L}}\bar{\sigma}'_{\bar{L}}}$$

$$\langle\psi_{\bar{\sigma}_1}\ldots\psi_{\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{L}}}\bar{\psi}_{\sigma_1}\ldots\bar{\psi}_{\sigma_L}\rangle_{\rm f},\mathcal{W}_{\sigma'_1\sigma_1}\ldots\mathcal{W}_{\sigma'_L\sigma_L}.$$

In Ref. [6] the special form of Ref. [8] for G, $\bar{G}$ has been used together with more general D. A singularity has been encountered if a symmetric situation for CP properties has been enforced, which here has been seen to be generally excluded by $\bar{G} \neq G$. The exchange of parameters under CP transformations there corresponds to the interchange of G and $\bar{G}$ in the general case here.

6. FORM WITH DETERMINANT

Using the relations of Sections 1 and 2 and choosing $g(-1) = -\bar{g}(-1) = \pm 1$ we get for the chiral projections the representations [7]

$$P_- = P_1^{(-)} + P_2^{(\mp)} + \sum_k P_k^{[-]}, \tag{23}$$

$$\bar{P}_+ = P_1^{(+)} + P_2^{(\mp)} + \sum_k \bar{P}_k^{[+]}, \tag{24}$$

in which the newly introduced projections satisfy

$$\operatorname{Tr} P_k^{[-]} = \operatorname{Tr} \bar{P}_k^{[+]} = \operatorname{Tr} P_k^{(\rm I)} = \operatorname{Tr} P_k^{(\rm II)}, \tag{25}$$

$$D^\dagger \bar{P}_k^{[+]} D = |f(\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_k})|^2 P_k^{[-]}. \tag{26}$$

We thus have $N - N_-(1) = \bar{N} - N_+(1) = \tilde{N}$, so that removing zero modes from $\bar{u}^\dagger D u$ the reduced matrix $\tilde{M}$ gets quadratic. Starting from basis representations of the $P_k^{[-]}$ and using (26) one gets $\mathrm{e}^{i\Theta_k}|f(\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_k})|$ for the diagonal elements and zero otherwise. For $P_2^{(\mp)}$ one remains with the diagonal elements $\mathrm{e}^{i\Theta}|f(-1)|$. The phases Θ_k and Θ can be freely choosen. The reduced chiral determinant $\det_{\tilde{N}}\tilde{M}$ thus becomes

$$\big(\mathrm{e}^{i\Theta}|f(-1)|\big)^{N_\mp(-1)}\prod_k\big(\mathrm{e}^{i\Theta_k}|f(\mathrm{e}^{i\varphi_k})|\big)^{N_k} \tag{27}$$

and for the correlation functions (15) we have [7]

$$\sum_{\sigma'_{r+1},\ldots,\sigma'_N}\epsilon^{\sigma'_{r+1}\ldots\sigma'_N}_{\sigma_{r+1}\ldots\sigma_N}\sum_{\bar{\sigma}'_{r+1},\ldots,\bar{\sigma}'_{\bar{N}}}\epsilon^{\bar{\sigma}'_{r+1}\ldots\bar{\sigma}'_{\bar{N}}}_{\bar{\sigma}_{r+1}\ldots\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}}$$

$$\tfrac{1}{(\tilde{N}-r)!}(\tilde{P}_-\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{\bar{P}}_+)_{\sigma'_{r+1}\bar{\sigma}'_{r+1}}\ldots(\tilde{P}_-\tilde{D}^{-1}\tilde{\bar{P}}_+)_{\sigma'_{\tilde{N}}\bar{\sigma}'_{\tilde{N}}}$$

$$u_{\sigma_{\tilde{N}+1},\tilde{N}+1}\ldots u_{\sigma_N N}\;\bar{u}^\dagger_{\tilde{N}+1,\bar{\sigma}_{\tilde{N}+1}}\ldots\bar{u}^\dagger_{\bar{N}\bar{\sigma}_{\bar{N}}}\;\det{}_{\tilde{N}}\tilde{M}$$

where the operators $\tilde{P}_-$, $\tilde{D}$, $\tilde{\bar{P}}_+$ are the ones restricted to the subspace without zero modes.

I wish to thank Michael Müller-Preussker and his group for their kind hospitality.

REFERENCES

1. R. Narayanan, H. Neuberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 3251; Nucl. Phys. B412 (1994) 574; Nucl. Phys. B443 (1995) 305.
2. M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B549 (1999) 295; Nucl. Phys. B568 (2000) 162.
3. W. Kerler, Nucl. Phys. B646 (2002) 201.
4. P.H. Ginsparg, K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D25 (1982) 2649.
5. K. Fujikawa, Nucl. Phys. B589 (2000) 487.
6. K. Fujikawa, M. Ishibashi, H. Suzuki Phys. Lett. B538 (2002) 197; JHEP 0204 (2002) 046.
7. W. Kerler, hep-lat/0307011, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B.
8. P. Hasenfratz, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) 106 (2002) 159.